

GEORGIA CARBON SEQUESTRATION REGISTRY PUBLIC HEARING

February 12, 2007 - Statesboro, Georgia

QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

What Do You Like Most about the Registry and Why?

- Defined eligibility requirements
- Leading the SE in the development of a registry
- Seems fairly straightforward

- Incentives for forest owners.
- Addressing Global Growth Issues.

- Rules seem clear and well defined (for a draft).

- Like low cost of entry.
- Like look-up table approach.
- Like inclusion of belowground carbon
- Like inclusion of products

- Potential for getting income from our forest while helping to clean carbon out of the atmosphere.

- Getting paid for something we do already.

Are There Aspects of the Registry Do You Disagree With and Why?

- Why only native species?
- Sustainability is good but it is hard to know what the future will hold.
- Use of products seems confusing still. Need some examples.

- More fine tuning – less subjectivity in acceptance of credits.

- Needs better controls.
 - How will Georgia market credits?
 - Role compare on National Standard
 - Loss Control ---
 - Will seller be -----
-
- Government Regulation vs. Private Regulation
 - Rx Fire
 - List of consumers
-
- How will the credits be tracked: within state only?
-
- Not knowing the cost to the landowner. Is this taxable?
 - Will Georgia compete with CCX?
 - Can Georgia landowners “double-dip” with CCX trading?
 - Non-restricted landowners: need more monitoring.
-
- Move the process faster. Put more money and people on this.
-
- Sounds good, better if expedited through Legislature.
 - Great if Europe’s value of credits implemented in U.S.

Do You Have Suggestions On How The Registry Can Be Improved?

- Database to eliminate fraud.
-
- Publish list of potential buyers of credit in Georgia
-
- Make sure there is some sort of agreement with CCX
 - Add buyers to webpage and buyers should have to register
 - Specific log-ins for public vs registry agents
-
- Regulate private on National Level.
-
- Provide some online examples of how the registry would be utilized.