QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

What Do You Like Most about the Registry and Why?

- Defined eligibility requirements
- Leading the SE in the development of a registry
- Seems fairly straightforward

- Incentives for forest owners.
- Addressing Global Growth Issues.

- Rules seem clear and well defined (for a draft).

- Like low cost of entry.
- Like look-up table approach.
- Like inclusion of belowground carbon
- Like inclusion of products

- Potential for getting income from our forest while helping to clean carbon out of the atmosphere.

- Getting paid for something we do already.

Are There Aspects of the Registry Do You Disagree With and Why?

- Why only native species?
- Sustainability is good but it is hard to know what the future will hold.
- Use of products seems confusing still. Need some examples.

- More fine tuning – less subjectivity in acceptance of credits.
- Needs better controls.
- How will Georgia market credits?
- Role compare on National Standard
- Loss Control ---
- Will seller be ------

- Government Regulation vs. Private Regulation
- Rx Fire
- List of consumers

- How will the credits be tracked: within state only?

- Not knowing the cost to the landowner. Is this taxable?
- Will Georgia compete with CCX?
- Can Georgia landowners “double-dip” with CCX trading?
- Non-restricted landowners: need more monitoring.

- Move the process faster. Put more money and people on this.

- Sounds good, better if expedited through Legislature.
- Great if Europe’s value of credits implemented in U.S.

**Do You Have Suggestions On How The Registry Can Be Improved?**

- Database to eliminate fraud.

- Publish list of potential buyers of credit in Georgia

- Make sure there is some sort of agreement with CCX
- Add buyers to webpage and buyers should have to register
- Specific log-ins for public vs registry agents

- Regulate private on National Level.

- Provide some online examples of how the registry would be utilized.